Appln. No.: 10/590,006

Amendment Dated June 18, 2008 Reply to Office Action of February 20, 2008

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheet of drawings includes changes to Figure 1 This sheet replaces the original sheet.

Attachment

Appln. No.: 10/590,006

Amendment Dated June 18, 2008

Reply to Office Action of February 20, 2008

Remarks/Arguments:

Claims 1-32 are pending. Claims 1-3, 5-17 and 32 stand rejected. Applicants appreciate the indication that claims 4 and 18-31 would be allowed if rewritten in independent form. By this Amendment, applicants are canceling claims 1-3, 15-17 and 32, and amending claims 4-7, 9, 13-14, 18, 21, 24-26 and 30-31. Accordingly, claims 4-14 and 18-31 are presented for reconsideration.

Objection to the Drawings

The drawings are objected to for not including legends in major blocks 4-9 and not showing a block for the remote control. Applicants have appropriately amended Figure 1 and respectfully request, therefore, that the objection to the drawings be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

The Office Action sets forth at page 3, "Claims 1, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Flick (US6873824)." Applicants respectfully submit that this rejection is moot in view of the cancellation of claims 1 and 15.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Office Action sets forth that claims 2, 14, 16-17 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flick in view of Nitou (US Pub. 2005/0116816); claim 3 is rejected as being unpatentable over Flick in view of Nitou, further in view of Eversull (US 3,659,265); claims 5-6 are rejected as being unpatentable over Flick in view of Nitou, further in view of Eversull, further in view of Dicroce (US Pub. 2004/0145458); claims 7-13 are rejected as being unpatentable over Flick in view of Luna et al. (US Pub. 2006/0152351). Applicants respectfully submit that these rejections are moot in view of the amendment of claims 4, 18, 21 and 30-31 into independent form. Applicants respectfully submit, therefore, that the rejections be withdrawn.

As set forth above, applicants have rewritten claims 4, 18, 21 and 30-31 in independent form in accordance with the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter. Applicants have also amended claims 5-7, 9, 13-14 and 24-26 to be dependent upon various ones of the newly independent claims. Applicants respectfully submit, therefore, that claims 4-14 and 18-31 are allowable.

Appln. No.: 10/590,006

Amendment Dated June 18, 2008

Reply to Office Action of February 20, 2008

In view of the amendments and remarks set forth above, applicants submit that the above-identified application is in condition for allowance which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

RatnerPrestia

Jacques L. Etkowicz, Reg. No. 41,738

Attorney for Applicants

JLE/kpc

Dated: June 18, 2008

Attachment: Figure 1 (1 sheet)

P.O. Box 980 Valley Forge, PA 19482 (610) 407-0700

The Director is hereby authorized to charge or credit Deposit Account No. **18-0350** for any additional fees, or any underpayment or credit for overpayment in connection herewith.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically transmitted to: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA on June 18, 2008.

W ald I

Kathleen P. Carney